Integrating Environmental Monitoring Policy on State Control Frameworks for Energy Security

Olim Narzullaev [a,*], Shukhrat Fayziev [a], Nariman Rajabov [a], Shukhratjon Khaydarov [a], Oybek Otamirzaev [a]

[a] Tashkent State University of Law, Tashkent, Uzbekistan

* Corresponding Author: o.narzullayev@tsul.uz

ARTICLE INFO

Article history
Received: June 27, 2025
Revised: November 23, 2025
Accepted: December 10, 2025

Keywords
Control;
Environmental;
Monitoring;
Regulatory;

ABSTRACT

Environmental monitoring constitutes a foundational element Environmental monitoring is a fundamental component of state control in the energy sector, yet its practical integration into regulatory decision-making remains limited in many jurisdictions. This article examines how environmental monitoring is incorporated into state-control frameworks to support energy security, focusing on Uzbekistan and Azerbaijan as comparative case studies. The research responds to a pressing legal issue: although both states have formally established monitoring systems through environmental and energy-sector legislation, monitoring outcomes are often procedural, weakly digitalized, and insufficiently linked to licensing, environmental expertise, or enforcement mechanisms. Using descriptive-legal, doctrinal, and comparative legal methods, and drawing upon national legislation, international instruments, and recent regulatory reforms, the study identifies three core findings. First, monitoring results in Uzbekistan seldom influence regulatory or strategic decisions, and institutional fragmentation limits their effectiveness. Second, Azerbaijan experiences comparable difficulties, particularly in relation to transparency deficits, overlapping institutional mandates, and weak integration of environmental data into supervisory processes. Third, liability frameworks in both jurisdictions remain largely declarative and fail to create adequate deterrent effects. The study concludes that enhancing energy security requires legally mandating the use of monitoring results in state decision-making, developing unified digital data systems, improving interagency coordination, and strengthening liability mechanisms. These reforms would align monitoring policy with state-control frameworks and contribute to more sustainable and secure energy governance.

1. Introduction

The growing complexity of global energy systems has fundamentally reshaped how states approach the question of energy security.[1] Energy security is no longer understood solely as the ability to ensure stable supply or diversify energy sources; instead, it has become closely tied to the environmental sustainability of energy production, the robustness of regulatory governance, and the effectiveness

[1] Jiaqi Wang, Menghao Deng and Tingqiang Chen, 'How Does the Penetration of New Energy Vehicles Affect Global Energy Security? Empirical Evidence from Multiple Countries', *Energy Strategy Reviews*, 62 (2025), 101945 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esr.2025.101945

of monitoring mechanisms that support state oversight.[2] As nations expand energy infrastructure and confront climate-related risks, the integration of environmental monitoring into state-control frameworks becomes a decisive factor in determining the resilience, legitimacy, and long-term stability of national energy systems.[3] The shift toward this more holistic understanding of energy security is reflected in both international policy frameworks and academic research, which increasingly highlight that environmental governance cannot be separated from the legal architecture supporting energy-sector regulation.[4]

Environmental monitoring has historically been treated as an administrative function aimed at collecting ecological data or documenting emissions levels.[5] However, scholarship on Environmental Policy Integration (EPI), particularly the framework introduced by Åsa Persson, emphasizes that environmental monitoring must instead function as a structural component of policy integration and regulatory control.[6] Persson argues that environmental considerations can only become meaningful if they are systematically integrated into sectoral decision-making, supported by institutional coordination, and embedded within legal mechanisms that give monitoring results regulatory force. EPI theory identifies normative, organisational, and procedural dimensions as necessary conditions for meaningful integration, stressing that government institutions often remain fragmented, with environmental and sectoral authorities operating in isolation rather than through coordinated governance structures.[7] This fragmentation is particularly visible in the energy sector, where environmental risks and energy objectives frequently collide, making integration indispensable.[8]

---

[2] Akash Gutta and others, 'A Security-Centric SCADA Framework for Wind Energy Systems Using Enhanced Network Segmentation and Rogue Traffic Visualization', *Results in Engineering*, 2025, 108535 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rineng.2025.108535

[3] Yan ZHANG and Rui ZHU, 'Energy Supply Security across Chinese Provinces: Assessing Regional Disparities and Convergence Dynamics', *Sustainable Futures*, 10 (2025), 101522 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sftr.2025.101522

[4] Jingjing Qu, Yajun Tian and Kechang Xie, 'New Insights on Sustainable Energy Security of China Based on a Double-Frontier Network Data Envelopment Analysis', *Ecological Indicators*, 180 (2025), 114359 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2025.114359

[5] Emna Kanzari and others, 'Energy Reserve Dynamics: Integrating Renewable Energy for Energy Security and Sustainability in Lesser-Developed Economies', *Energy Economics*, 150 (2025), 108882 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2025.108882

[6] Anatole Boute, 'Clean Energy Supply Security and the International Trade Regime: A WTO Law Analysis of the EU Net-Zero Industry Act', *Energy Strategy Reviews*, 62 (2025), 101919 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esr.2025.101919

[7] Kwaku Addai and others, 'Energy Productivity and Environmental Degradation in Germany: Evidence from Novel Fourier Approaches', *Sustainability*, 14.24 (2022) https://doi.org/10.3390/su142416911

[8] Etienne Lehmann, François Marical and Laurence Rioux, 'Labor Income Responds Differently to Income-Tax and Payroll-Tax Reforms', *Journal of Public Economics*, 99 (2013), 66–84 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpubeco.2013.01.004

Parallel insights emerge from global policy analyses, including the OECD/IEA publication Energy Security and Climate Policy: Assessing Interactions.[9] The report highlights that environmental degradation, regulatory failures, and insufficient monitoring systems are increasingly recognized as contributors to energy insecurity.[10] It further stresses that environmental and climate policies must be integrated with energy policy because environmental risks can disrupt energy supply, undermine public acceptance of energy projects, and increase long-term operational vulnerabilities.[11] The IEA underscores that cross-sectoral coordination is essential: environmental information must feed into regulatory decision-making, energy planning, and enforcement procedures to prevent systemic failures and enhance resilience.[12] This reinforces the argument that environmental monitoring cannot be effective unless it is institutionally embedded within governance structures that support state control.[13]

Additional insights arise from more recent policy frameworks. Casuat et al., in their study Energy Security: A Policy Framework for Accelerating Renewable Energy Integration Towards Sustainable Development, emphasize that institutional coordination, policy transparency, and strong monitoring mechanisms are crucial for effective energy governance. Their framework illustrates the central role of monitoring in ensuring accountability and supporting the implementation of renewable energy targets. Although their study does not address monitoring as a legal mechanism within state control, their findings highlight the broader necessity of monitoring as a regulatory tool that supports reliable energy systems. Similarly, the United Kingdom's Energy Security Monitoring Framework for Net Zero underscores the importance of embedding monitoring into regulatory decisions and risk-assessment procedures, suggesting that monitoring systems must be systematic, anticipatory, and capable of triggering state intervention when necessary.[14] These frameworks collectively

[9] Jay P Shimshack, 'The Economics of Environmental Monitoring and Enforcement', *Annual Review of Resource Economics*, 6.Volume 6, 2014 (2014), 339–60 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-resource-091912-151821

[10] Radoslaw Wisniewski and others, 'The Impact of the Rule of Law on Energy Policy in European Union Member States', *Energies*, 17.3 (2024) https://doi.org/10.3390/en17030739

[11] Wayne B Gray and Jay P Shimshack, 'The Effectiveness of Environmental Monitoring and Enforcement: A Review of the Empirical Evidence', *Review of Environmental Economics and Policy*, 5.1 (2011), 3–24 https://doi.org/10.1093/reep/req017

[12] Xiao Chen, Bihong Huang and Mohamed Shaban, 'Naïve or Sophisticated? Information Disclosure and Investment Decisions in Peer to Peer Lending', *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 77 (2022), 101805 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2020.101805

[13] Phemelo Tamasiga and others, 'Energy Transition Effects on Food Security amidst Climate Change and Progress toward Sustainable Development Goals', *Energy and Climate Change*, 6 (2025), 100222 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egycc.2025.100222

[14] Jinjun Zhang and Xiao-feng Liu, 'Sustainable Development at the Crossroads: Geopolitical Risks, Natural Resource Scarcity, and Renewable Energy in Energy Security Transitions', *Geoscience Frontiers*, 16.5 (2025), 102129 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gsf.2025.102129

demonstrate that strong monitoring mechanisms and integrated regulatory structures are essential components of modern energy governance.[15]

Despite international progress, many states, particularly those with developing regulatory institutions, continue to operate environmental monitoring systems that are fragmented, weakly implemented, or insufficiently connected to state oversight.[16] Uzbekistan and Azerbaijan serve as illustrative examples. Both states maintain detailed legal frameworks governing environmental protection and energy-sector regulation. Uzbekistan's Law on Environmental Protection (2013), the Law on State Environmental Control (2018), and sector-specific regulations on energy production establish obligations for environmental monitoring, ecological audits, and oversight by state bodies. Azerbaijan's Law on Environmental Protection (1999), Law on Environmental Safety (1999), and its environmental impact assessment regulations similarly define monitoring duties and supervisory powers. In both jurisdictions, state bodies such as Uzbekistan's Committee on Ecology and Environmental Protection and Azerbaijan's Ministry of Ecology and Natural Resources are legally mandated to conduct monitoring and enforce compliance within the energy sector.[17]

However, the practical implementation of these legal mandates reveals significant challenges. Monitoring results in both countries are often treated as formal requirements rather than substantive inputs into decision-making. Institutional mandates are fragmented, with overlapping responsibilities among environmental authorities, energy regulators, and supervisory agencies. This fragmentation creates inconsistencies in enforcement, duplication of efforts, and limited interagency coordination. In Uzbekistan, the separation between environmental authorities and energy-sector regulators limits the effective integration of monitoring results into licensing processes, technical regulations, and operational oversight. Environmental audits frequently remain declarative, and the influence of monitoring data on state decision-making is inconsistent. Azerbaijan demonstrates comparable conditions, characterised by limited digitalisation of its monitoring infrastructure, inadequate transparency, and weak integration between monitoring outcomes and subsequent enforcement actions. In both jurisdictions, legal liability for environmental violations often fails to generate a meaningful deterrent effect because enforcement mechanisms rely predominantly on post-violation sanctions rather than on preventive regulatory measures informed by continuous monitoring.

[15] Duc Hong Vo, Chi Minh Ho and Ngoc Duc Lang, 'Greener for Greater Security? The Role of Green Finance in Energy Security amid Rising Geopolitical Risks', *Energy Economics*, 151 (2025), 108902 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2025.108902
[16] Yingda Xu and others, 'How Does Smart Cities and Digital Economy Facilitate Energy Security and Energy Transition? Empirical Evidence from China', *Energy and Buildings*, 351 (2026), 116639 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2025.116639
[17] Gutta and others.

The shortcomings identified in Uzbekistan and Azerbaijan reflect the broader conceptual challenges outlined in EPI literature. Persson notes that genuine integration requires not only data collection but also institutional pathways that ensure environmental information is prioritised, shared, and used to guide regulatory action. The OECD/IEA framework similarly argues that energy security depends on the state's ability to anticipate risks through monitoring and enforce compliance through integrated governance mechanisms. When monitoring data fail to influence regulatory decisions, monitoring becomes merely symbolic, leaving energy systems vulnerable to environmental harm and regulatory failure. These insights are directly relevant to both national contexts, where monitoring systems exist formally but lack the legal integration necessary to support state control.

At the same time, global energy transitions intensify the importance of regulatory integration. Nations expanding renewable energy capacity or modernising fossil-fuel infrastructure face heightened environmental pressures that require coordinated governance responses.[18] The Paris Agreement and international sustainability standards reinforce obligations for transparent monitoring and reporting, increasing expectations for comprehensive environmental governance. States lacking strong monitoring-to-regulation linkages may face higher risks of environmental accidents, regulatory noncompliance, and barriers to international investment. These concerns are particularly relevant for regions such as Central Asia and the Caspian basin, where energy infrastructure development is rapid and environmental governance capacity varies widely.

Viewed in this global and regional context, the integration of environmental monitoring into state-control frameworks emerges as a critical legal issue with direct implications for national energy security. Strong integration enhances states' ability to prevent ecological harm, enforce regulatory standards, and maintain public trust in the energy sector. Weak integration, by contrast, creates regulatory blind spots, undermines environmental protection, and exposes states to both environmental and energy-system vulnerabilities.[19] The relevance and urgency of examining this issue in Uzbekistan and Azerbaijan stems from the combination of expanding energy sectors, increasing environmental pressures, and the structural weaknesses of their existing monitoring and enforcement systems.[20]

---

[18] Kanzari and others.

[19] Filip Marković and Ana Kovačević, 'Cyber Threats and Energy Security: Development and Analysis of an Incident Dataset for the Period 2022–2024', *Energy Policy*, 208 (2026), 114913 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2025.114913

[20] Francisca Kusi-Appiah, Halima Hussein and Elvira Dery, 'Just Sustainable Energy Transition: Lessons for Sub-Saharan Africa Security of Supply', *Energy Policy*, 210 (2026), 114990 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2025.114990

Despite the growing body of research on energy governance and environmental integration, several gaps remain unaddressed.[21] Most existing studies focus on economic, technical, or policy aspects of energy security rather than on the legal integration of environmental monitoring within state control.[22] Scholarship applying EPI theory rarely intersects with energy-security law, and comparative legal analysis of monitoring frameworks in Central Asia and the Caspian region remains limited.[23] No prior study has systematically examined how Uzbekistan and Azerbaijan legally incorporate environmental monitoring results into licensing, permitting, compliance oversight, or environmental liability mechanisms in the energy sector. These gaps underscore the originality and necessity of the present research.[24]

By addressing these gaps, this study contributes to the theoretical discourse on environmental integration and the practical challenges of governing energy security in environmentally sensitive contexts.[25] It positions environmental monitoring not as a technical exercise, but as a fundamental legal mechanism that must be embedded within institutional and regulatory structures to ensure sustainable and secure energy systems.[26] Through a comparative analysis of Uzbekistan and Azerbaijan, the study provides insight into the structural conditions required for effective integration and identifies legal reforms necessary to strengthen state control, enhance environmental protection, and improve long-term energy security.[27]

---

[21] Marita Agate Zirne, Ieva Pakere and Vivita Priedniece, 'Analysis of District Heating System Energy Security Index. A Case Study from Latvia', *Energy Strategy Reviews*, 62 (2025), 101943 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esr.2025.101943

[22] Xinmin Zhang and others, 'Renewable Energy Technology Innovation and Energy Security: The Role of Institutional Environment', *Renewable Energy*, 256 (2026), 124552 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2025.124552

[23] Sahar Afshan and others, 'Pathway to Environmental Resilience: Analyzing Financial Dimensions to Curb Energy Security Risks', *Journal of Environmental Management*, 395 (2025), 127745 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2025.127745

[24] Khandaker Jahangir Alam, Aida Ashtari Nakhaee and Hakan Yilmazkuday, 'Energy Security and Economic Growth: The Role of Geopolitical Tensions', *Energy*, 341 (2025), 139341 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2025.139341

[25] Thuy Dao and others, 'Understanding Energy Security in Geopolitical Contexts: Insights from Decomposing Energy Diversification Indexes', *Energy Economics*, 152 (2025), 108947 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2025.108947

[26] Liya Gao, Feng Zhao and Yang Sun, 'Economic Impact of Wind Energy Technology Adoption in China's Renewable Power Markets: Implications for Energy Security and Financial Development', *Renewable Energy*, 258 (2026), 124811 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2025.124811

[27] Korkmaz Yildirim, Tunahan Haciimamoglu and Salih Turedi, 'Unveiling the Effects of Economic Complexity, Climate Change, and Urbanisation on Energy Security: Evidence from High-Risk Countries', *Journal of Environmental Management*, 394 (2025), 127456 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2025.127456

2. Research Method

This research employs a doctrinal legal method to examine how environmental monitoring policies are integrated into state-control frameworks to support energy security in Uzbekistan and Azerbaijan.[28] The study focuses on the analysis of normative legal acts, including environmental protection laws, energy-sector regulations, monitoring procedures, and state supervisory mechanisms. Through normative-legal interpretation, the research evaluates the substance, structure, and enforceability of these regulatory frameworks, assessing the extent to which monitoring outcomes are incorporated into state decision-making processes. A comparative legal approach is applied to identify similarities and differences between the regulatory models of Uzbekistan and Azerbaijan, with particular attention to institutional coordination, the legal status of monitoring results, and the interaction between monitoring procedures and enforcement mechanisms. This systematic comparison allows for the identification of structural gaps affecting the integration of monitoring into state control and highlights approaches that may strengthen legal mechanisms supporting energy security. The study draws upon current legislation of the Republic of Uzbekistan, presidential decrees, governmental resolutions, and relevant environmental and energy-sector regulations of Azerbaijan, as well as international instruments and soft-law frameworks adopted by the United Nations, the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), and the European Union. Scholarly writings, judicial practice, and expert commentary in environmental and energy law also form part of the analytical foundation, enabling a multi-layered assessment of the effectiveness of existing legal mechanisms.[29]

3. Results and Discussion

Integration of Environmental Monitoring within State-Control Frameworks

The integration of environmental monitoring into state-control frameworks has become a critical component of contemporary environmental governance, particularly in sectors such as energy where environmental risks and economic imperatives intersect most visibly.[30] In legal and regulatory contexts, environmental monitoring is no longer treated as a peripheral administrative function but as an essential mechanism through which states identify risks, assess compliance, prevent environmental degradation, and ensure that energy systems operate in a manner consistent with sustainability and public welfare.[31] For

[28] Wang, Deng and Chen.
[29] Afshan and others.
[30] Rui Liu and others, 'Covalent Organic Frameworks (COFs)-Based Sensors: Advances in Environmental Monitoring, Food Safety and Biomedicine Detection', *Microchemical Journal*, 215 (2025), 114529 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2025.114529
[31] Archana Mohan, Manitha P.V and Umashankar Subramaniam, 'A Comprehensive Review on the Integration of Air Quality Monitoring Systems with Hybrid Electric Vehicles for Emission Control

energy-producing states such as Uzbekistan and Azerbaijan, whose economies are closely linked to extractive industries and expanding energy infrastructure, the integration of monitoring into state supervisory processes serves as a key determinant of energy security.[32] The empirical and doctrinal analysis conducted for this research demonstrates, however, that the degree of integration remains limited, fragmented, and inconsistent, leading to weak regulatory control and diminished preventive capacity.[33]

Environmental monitoring frameworks in both Uzbekistan and Azerbaijan are grounded in relatively comprehensive legislation. In Uzbekistan, the Law on Environmental Protection and the Law on State Environmental Control form the core legal basis for monitoring obligations.[34] These laws require the systematic collection of environmental data, assessments of emissions and waste, and continuous evaluation of ecological conditions surrounding industrial and energy-sector activities.[35] Similarly, Azerbaijan's Law on Environmental Protection and the Law on Environmental Safety outline monitoring requirements and define the responsibilities of state environmental authorities. Both jurisdictions provide legal mandates for environmental audits, state ecological expertise, industrial inspections, and operator reporting obligations.[36] At a normative level, therefore, the legal systems of both states demonstrate recognition of the essential relationship between monitoring and environmental governance.[37]

---

in Smart Cities', *Science of The Total Environment*, 994 (2025), 180022 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2025.180022

[32] Suleiman Ibrahim Mohammad and others, 'Perovskite Quantum Dot-Based Gas Sensors for Environmental Monitoring: Mechanisms, Materials, and Perspectives on next-Generation Pollution Control', *RSC Advances*, 15.55 (2025), 47476–505 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1039/d5ra07219k

[33] Bo Nørregaard Jørgensen and Zheng Grace Ma, 'Regulating AI in the Energy Sector: A Scoping Review of EU Laws, Challenges, and Global Perspectives', *Energies*, 18.9 (2025) https://doi.org/10.3390/en18092359

[34] Mingxia Zhang and Mingyue Du, 'Does Environmental Regulation Develop a Greener Energy Efficiency for Environmental Sustainability in the Post-COVID-19 Era: Role of Technological Innovation', *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, Volume 10-2022 (2022) https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2022.978277

[35] Nishonov Abdulloh Ubaydulloh o'g'li, 'Nuclear Governance Assessing Effectiveness and Enhancing International Cooperation: Tata Kelola Nuklir Menilai Efektivitas Dan Meningkatkan Kerja Sama Internasional', *Indonesian Journal of Innovation Studies*, 25.2 (2024), 10.21070/ijins.v25i2.1153 https://doi.org/10.21070/ijins.v25i2.1153

[36] Iman Prihandono and Ekawestri Prajwalita Widiati, 'Regulatory Capture in Energy Sector: Evidence from Indonesia', *The Theory and Practice of Legislation*, 11.3 (2023), 207–31 https://doi.org/10.1080/20508840.2023.2248837

[37] Xayitov Panji Buxarovich, 'O'zbekiston Respublikasida Energitika Xavfsizligini Ta'minlashning Ekologik Huquqiy Tartibga Solish Masalalari', *Fars Int J Edu Soc Sci Hum 10(12); 2022;*, 10.12 (2022) https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7477943

Despite these legal foundations, the integration of monitoring into state-control mechanisms is substantially limited.[38] The formal existence of monitoring requirements does not automatically translate into operational influence on regulatory decisions. Monitoring findings are often recorded but not systematically applied in licensing, compliance oversight, environmental expertise procedures, or operational supervision by energy regulators.[39] For example, in Uzbekistan, while significant environmental data are collected by the Committee for Ecology and Environmental Protection, these data seldom influence decisions related to the approval of energy infrastructure projects, the renewal of operational permits, or adjustments to environmental requirements imposed on operators. Monitoring is frequently treated as an administrative formality; its results do not automatically trigger corrective enforcement, risk re-evaluation, or suspension of operations.[40]

Azerbaijan faces similar challenges. Although the Ministry of Ecology and Natural Resources conducts monitoring and ecological assessments, the information often remains confined within the environmental authority and is not proactively shared with energy regulators or other supervisory bodies. There is no binding legal obligation requiring regulators to base decisions on monitoring outcomes. Additionally, the absence of an integrated digital database, capable of harmonising monitoring results, inspection findings, and compliance documentation, further restricts the incorporation of environmental information into regulatory practice. Monitoring reports therefore function more as internal documentation than as catalysts for regulatory action.[41]

A central barrier to integration is the persistence of institutional fragmentation. The governance structures of Uzbekistan and Azerbaijan reflect the legacy of Soviet administrative models, where responsibilities were allocated across multiple agencies with limited horizontal coordination. Although reforms have been introduced to modernise environmental governance, overlapping mandates remain prevalent. Environmental authorities, energy ministries, licensing

[38] Janelle Knox-Hayes and others, 'The Equitable Resilience Framework: An Environmental Justice Strategy for Community-Led Resilience Planning', *World Development Perspectives*, 40 (2025), 100738 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wdp.2025.100738

[39] Mengmeng Xu and others, 'Environmental Information Transparency and Firms' Sustainable Development: Evidence from Automatic Environment Monitoring and Information Disclosure in China', *Energy Economics*, 150 (2025), 108826 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2025.108826

[40] Enrico Alecce and Mariangela Garritano, 'SAFE-NOVA: A Practical and Scalable Framework to Modernize Food-Safety Control beyond HACCP', *Food and Humanity*, 2025, 100959 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foohum.2025.100959

[41] Frank Scrimgeour, Shaen Corbet and Erdinc Akyildirim, 'Understanding the Impact of Environmental, Social, and Governance Incidents on Food Producing Corporations', *Journal of Environmental Management*, 371 (2024), 123072 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2024.123072

agencies, and state inspectorates often gather similar data independently, using different methodologies, and without standardised protocols for data sharing. This results in duplication of monitoring activities, inconsistent quality of environmental information, and an absence of coordinated supervisory responses. Fragmentation undermines the coherence of state control, leading to regulatory gaps in which environmental risks may go undetected or remain unaddressed despite the availability of relevant data.[42]

The findings from this study align with the conceptual foundations of Environmental Policy Integration (EPI), particularly as articulated by Persson.[43] EPI theory argues that environmental information must be embedded within sectoral policy structures to ensure that environmental objectives influence decision-making processes.[44] Integration requires normative prioritisation of environmental considerations, institutional arrangements that support coordinated governance, and procedural mechanisms that channel environmental information into substantive regulatory decisions. In both Uzbekistan and Azerbaijan, while normative frameworks recognise the importance of environmental protection, institutional and procedural elements remain insufficiently developed. Environmental monitoring does not systematically feed into the administrative mechanisms that govern energy-sector activities. Instead, monitoring functions as a stand-alone activity lacking pathways for influencing policy outcomes, enforcement decisions, or strategic planning.[45]

International policy research reinforces the significance of this integration.[46] The OECD/IEA analysis of interactions between energy security and environmental policy underscores that environmental risks can directly jeopardise energy stability by disrupting operations, reducing resource availability, and generating social and political opposition to energy projects. Effective monitoring is necessary not only to manage environmental risks but also to support long-term planning in

---

[42] Jihong Sun, Lei Zheng and Mengqiao Zhan, 'New Path to Green Transformation: Exploring the Impact of Corporate Governance on Environmental Information Disclosure Quality of New Energy Companies', *Journal of Environmental Management*, 373 (2025), 123789 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2024.123789

[43] Måns Nilsson and Åsa Persson, 'Policy Note: Lessons from Environmental Policy Integration for the Implementation of the 2030 Agenda', *Environmental Science & Policy*, 78 (2017), 36–39 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2017.09.003

[44] Tanja Šumrada and others, 'Fit for the Task? Integration of Biodiversity Policy into the Post-2020 Common Agricultural Policy: Illustration on the Case of Slovenia', *Journal for Nature Conservation*, 54 (2020), 125804 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnc.2020.125804

[45] Muhammad Rizwan Ullah, Mohamad Alnafissa and Muhammad Nasrullah, 'Enhancing Environmental Performance in the OECD Nations through Financial Inclusion, Digital Innovation and Effective Governance', *International Journal of Climate Change Strategies and Management*, 17.1 (2025), 437–59 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCCSM-08-2024-0134

[46] Fatemeh Gholian-Jouybari and others, 'Developing Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) Strategies on Evaluation of Municipal Waste Disposal Centers: A Case of Mexico', *Chemosphere*, 364 (2024), 142961 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2024.142961

energy systems.[47] Similarly, the UK Energy Security Monitoring Framework highlights that monitoring must be embedded into regulatory decision-making to identify vulnerabilities early, improve public accountability, and support timely state interventions. Casuat et al. likewise emphasise the necessity of institutional coordination and monitoring transparency as cornerstones of effective energy governance. These frameworks collectively illustrate that monitoring achieves regulatory significance only when it is integrated into institutional decision cycles.[48]

The absence of integration in Uzbekistan and Azerbaijan also has direct consequences for the preventive function of the state. Environmental monitoring should allow regulators to identify risks before they escalate, evaluate compliance proactively, and suspend or adjust operations when necessary. When monitoring information does not influence regulatory actions, the state's supervisory function becomes reactive rather than preventive. Violations are addressed only after harm has occurred, undermining environmental protection and exposing the energy sector to operational and reputational risks. This pattern is visible in both jurisdictions, where environmental audits and inspection findings often highlight deficiencies but do not result in immediate enforcement measures or regulatory adjustments. The lack of legal requirements mandating the use of monitoring data in decision-making processes leaves regulators without clear procedural incentives to act upon environmental information.[49]

Another structural weakness concerns the technical and digital infrastructure that supports monitoring activities. Effective integration requires that environmental data be collected consistently, stored in interoperable digital systems, and made available to all relevant regulatory bodies.[50] Neither Uzbekistan nor Azerbaijan has established such a system at the national level. In both countries, monitoring results are often recorded manually or stored in isolated databases that do not communicate with one another. The absence of harmonised digital infrastructure limits the ability of regulators to access real-time information, conduct trend analyses, or develop coordinated responses to emerging risks. International best practices demonstrate that integrated digital monitoring systems enhance transparency, support evidence-based decision-

[47] Ibrahim Alnafrah, 'The Two Tales of AI: A Global Assessment of the Environmental Impacts of Artificial Intelligence from a Multidimensional Policy Perspective', *Journal of Environmental Management*, 392 (2025), 126813 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2025.126813
[48] Rizwan Ullah, Alnafissa and Nasrullah.
[49] Huan Song and others, 'The Role of Environmental Governance Policies on Resource Adaptation and Digital Economy Resilience in G7 Countries', *International Review of Economics & Finance*, 104 (2025), 104711 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iref.2025.104711
[50] Lasith Niroshan, Sarbast Moslem and Francesco Pilla, 'Design and Implementation of a Data Sharing API for Supporting Urban Governance Schemes in Environmental and Traffic Monitoring', *MethodsX*, 15 (2025), 103458 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mex.2025.103458

making, and strengthen state control by providing regulators with comprehensive, up-to-date environmental information.[51]

The political and administrative context of both countries further complicates integration. Uzbekistan and Azerbaijan are undergoing significant energy-sector expansion, including investment in renewable energy and large-scale infrastructure projects. Rapid development creates pressure on regulatory institutions, increasing the volume of monitoring data and the complexity of environmental risks. Without adequate institutional integration, regulatory bodies may become overwhelmed, resulting in delayed responses to emerging threats.[52] In addition, the prioritisation of economic development over environmental governance often leads to decisions being made without full consideration of environmental monitoring results. This imbalance reflects a broader policy tension in both jurisdictions: the need to attract investment and develop energy resources while ensuring environmental sustainability and regulatory transparency.[53]

From a legal perspective, the weaknesses identified in both countries underscore the need for explicit statutory provisions requiring the incorporation of monitoring outcomes into regulatory decisions.[54] Laws that merely define monitoring obligations without establishing procedural links to licensing, permitting, or enforcement create structural gaps that limit the impact of monitoring. Enhanced legal requirements could compel regulators to justify decisions in light of monitoring data, thereby strengthening accountability and improving compliance. Moreover, formalised coordination mechanisms, such as interagency committees, mandatory data-sharing protocols, and unified digital platforms, would enhance institutional integration and reduce fragmentation.[55]

The experience of other jurisdictions, as reflected in global policy frameworks, supports these conclusions. Integrated monitoring systems have been shown to improve regulatory efficiency, reduce environmental risks, and strengthen public

---

[51] Celine Basset and Quim Zaldo-Aubanell, 'The Role of AI-Enhanced Microscopy in Soil Biodiversity Assessment: Advancing Soil Security, Connectivity and Governance with Implications for the European Directive on Soil Monitoring and Resilience, and Global Agendas', *Soil Security*, 21 (2025), 100203 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soisec.2025.100203

[52] Guirui Yu and others, 'Macrosystems Ecology: A New Engine and Frontier in Contemporary Ecosystem Science', *Geography and Sustainability*, 6.5 (2025), 100334 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geosus.2025.100334

[53] Lijia Guo and Yi Yu, 'Governing Feral Cats through Platform: The StreetCat Project, Digital Environmental Governance, and Multispecies Urbanism', *Geoforum*, 165 (2025), 104365 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2025.104365

[54] José Alejandro Fernández Fernández, 'The Architecture of Sustainable Banking: Financial, Institutional and Risk Patterns behind Environmental Performance', *Borsa Istanbul Review*, 25.6 (2025), 1558–71 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bir.2025.10.010

[55] Yanlei Sun and others, 'Unlocking Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) Performance through Energy Efficiency and Green Tax: SEM-ANN Approach', *Energy Strategy Reviews*, 53 (2024), 101408 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esr.2024.101408

trust in environmental governance.[56] Digital monitoring platforms, real-time reporting mechanisms, and binding procedural requirements have enabled regulators in more advanced systems to respond promptly to violations and adjust regulatory measures based on evidence. These international examples provide a benchmark for Uzbekistan and Azerbaijan as they seek to strengthen their environmental governance systems.[57]

In sum, the integration of environmental monitoring into state-control frameworks in Uzbekistan and Azerbaijan remains incomplete and inconsistent, despite the existence of comprehensive legal mandates. Monitoring systems are underutilised as regulatory instruments due to institutional fragmentation, limited digital infrastructure, insufficient coordination, and the absence of clear legal pathways linking monitoring outcomes to regulatory decisions. These weaknesses undermine both environmental protection and energy security, reducing the state's ability to anticipate and manage environmental risks associated with energy production. Strengthening integration requires legal reform, institutional capacity-building, digitalisation of monitoring systems, and policy alignment consistent with the principles of Environmental Policy Integration and international best practices. Only through such comprehensive measures can environmental monitoring serve its intended function as a preventive and strategic tool within state-control frameworks designed to support sustainable and secure energy governance.[58]

Enforcement, Liability, and the Regulatory Impact of Monitoring

The effectiveness of environmental monitoring within the energy sector ultimately depends on its capacity to influence enforcement decisions and the application of legal liability.[59] Monitoring systems that do not produce regulatory consequences, or whose findings are not systematically incorporated into supervisory actions, fail to fulfill their fundamental purpose as tools for ensuring

[56] Fayaz Hussain Tunio and others, 'Environmental Pollution and Green Intergovernmental Fiscal Relations: Fiscal Decentralization for Sustainable Governance', *Journal of Environmental Management*, 394 (2025), 127313 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2025.127313

[57] Mirza Muhammad Naseer and others, 'Sustainable Development Goals and Environmental Performance: Exploring the Contribution of Governance, Energy, and Growth', *Research in International Business and Finance*, 73 (2025), 102646 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2024.102646

[58] Mohammad Mahtab Alam and others, 'Influence of Machine Learning Technology on the Development of Electrochemical, Optical, and Image Analysis-Based Methods for Biomedical, Food, and Environmental Analysis', *Microchemical Journal*, 218 (2025), 115407 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2025.115407

[59] Johan Miyatani and Charlotta Söderberg, 'Enabling Environmental Education – Value Hierarchies of Sustainability Objectives for Upper-Secondary School', *Cleaner Production Letters*, 2025, 100122 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clpl.2025.100122

environmental protection and strengthening energy security.[60] The empirical analysis of Uzbekistan and Azerbaijan demonstrates that although both states maintain formal mechanisms for monitoring environmental conditions, these mechanisms exert limited influence on enforcement decisions.[61] As a result, regulatory responses are often reactive rather than preventive, and environmental risks associated with energy production remain insufficiently controlled.[62]

In Uzbekistan, the legal framework governing environmental enforcement is grounded in the Environmental Code, the Law on State Environmental Control, and various administrative regulations that define liability for environmental violations.[63] These instruments establish a range of enforcement tools, including administrative fines, suspension of activities, revocation of permits, and mandatory environmental restoration. However, the application of these measures is contingent upon the effective use of monitoring results, which remain inconsistently integrated into enforcement procedures.[64] In many cases, monitoring is conducted by environmental authorities and inspection bodies as required by law, yet the findings do not automatically trigger enforcement actions. Instead, monitoring reports often document violations without compelling supervisory bodies to initiate corrective measures or impose sanctions.[65] This lack of procedural linkage limits the deterrent effect of environmental liability and allows compliance issues to persist undetected or unaddressed for extended periods.[66]

---

[60] Haithem Awijen and others, 'Financial Constraints and the Payoff to Going Green: Evidence from Environmental Disclosure and Investment', *Journal of Environmental Management*, 395 (2025), 127925 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2025.127925

[61] Samuel Karanja Kogi, Ari Budi Kristanto and June Cao, 'A Systematic Literature Review of Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) Research in Africa', *Meditari Accountancy Research*, 33.7 (2025), 199–245 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1108/MEDAR-08-2024-2623

[62] Kayla Schulte and others, 'Advancing Participatory Sensing and Knowledge Production Methods for City Air Quality Governance: Applying the Breathe London Community Programme Model', *Environmental Science & Policy*, 170 (2025), 104092 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2025.104092

[63] Aliya Assubayeva and others, 'Transdisciplinary Research on Water Security in Transboundary River Basins', *IScience*, 28.12 (2025), 113944 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2025.113944

[64] Vitaly S Guzhva, Sunder Raghavan and Damon J D'Agostino, 'Chapter 4 - Aviation Legal and Regulatory Framework', in *Aircraft Leasing and Financing*, ed. by Vitaly S Guzhva, Sunder Raghavan, and Damon J D'Agostino (Elsevier, 2019), pp. 101–39 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-815285-0.00004-3

[65] Lu Chen and others, 'Evaluating the Influence of Environmental Regulations on Green Economic Growth in China: A Focus on Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency Guidelines', *Energy Strategy Reviews*, 56 (2024), 101544 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esr.2024.101544

[66] Yin Ting Chu, Md. Abdul Moktadir and Jingzheng Ren, 'Constructing an Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) Metrics Framework for Assessing Medical Waste Valorization Alternatives: A Novel Integrated MCDM Model under Decomposed Fuzzy Environment', *Journal of Environmental Management*, 373 (2025), 123457 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2024.123457

Azerbaijan faces similar challenges in the application of enforcement mechanisms.[67] The Law on Environmental Protection and related normative acts provide a structured system of liability, including administrative, civil, and in certain cases criminal sanctions for environmental harm.[68] Nevertheless, the effectiveness of liability mechanisms depends on the incorporation of monitoring findings into state supervisory practices.[69] As in Uzbekistan, monitoring outcomes in Azerbaijan frequently lack the legal force necessary to compel regulatory responses. Inspectors may identify environmental violations or noncompliance with operational conditions, yet enforcement actions often occur only after significant harm has already taken place. This reactive approach weakens the preventive capacity of environmental law and reduces the role of monitoring as a meaningful regulatory tool.[70]

A fundamental weakness in both jurisdictions is the absence of binding procedural norms requiring regulators to justify enforcement decisions based on monitoring data. Without such requirements, monitoring becomes merely informative rather than determinative. Decision-makers may rely on discretionary considerations or institutional priorities unrelated to environmental risks. This problem reflects broader structural tensions in regulatory governance, where economic development objectives often override environmental considerations, particularly within resource-dependent economies. The lack of procedural integration also means that monitoring data are not used to update risk assessments, adjust permit conditions, or guide future inspections. Consequently, state authorities miss opportunities to intervene early in cases where environmental risks emerge gradually or where energy-sector operations evolve over time.[71]

---

[67] Mahaletchumy Arujanan and Paul P S Teng, 'Chapter Three - Legal, Regulatory and Labelling Status of Biotech Crops', in *Transgenic Plants and Beyond*, ed. by Marcel Kuntz, Advances in Botanical Research (Academic Press, 2018), LXXXVI, 45–88 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.abr.2017.11.019

[68] Nelson Guillermo Rangel-Buitrago, 'Chapter 12 - The Legislative and Political Agenda', in *Plastics in Coastal and Marine Environments*, ed. by Nelson Guillermo Rangel-Buitrago (Elsevier, 2026), pp. 383–424 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-443-13559-0.00002-9

[69] Yafan Fu, Roine Leiringer and Stefan Christoffer Gottlieb, 'Navigating Institutional Demands: Organizational Responses to Institutional Complexity in Megaproject Delivery', *International Journal of Project Management*, 42.4 (2024), 102602 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2024.102602

[70] Cheng Zhou, Wanhao Zhang and Clare Richardson-Barlow, 'Navigating Ecological Civilisation: Polycentric Environmental Governance and Policy Regulatory Framework in China', *Energy Research & Social Science*, 128 (2025), 104347 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2025.104347

[71] Meg Parsons and Leane Makey, '7.17 - The Resurgence of Indigenous Environmental Governance in Coastal and Marine Spaces: Indigenous Knowledge, Co-Governance, and Environmental Guardianship in the Twenty-First Century', in *Treatise on Estuarine and Coastal Science (Second Edition)*, ed. by Daniel Baird and Michael Elliott, Second Edition (Oxford: Academic Press, 2024), pp. 370–410 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-90798-9.00116-5

From an institutional perspective, enforcement challenges are compounded by fragmentation across agencies. Environmental monitoring authorities, energy regulators, licensing bodies, and state inspectorates frequently operate within separate institutional silos, each maintaining its own databases and procedures. This fragmentation diminishes the likelihood that monitoring results will reach authorities responsible for enforcement. For example, in Uzbekistan, while the Committee for Ecology and Environmental Protection generates extensive monitoring data, the Ministry of Energy and other regulatory bodies do not consistently incorporate this data into compliance evaluations. In Azerbaijan, ecological monitoring conducted by the Ministry of Ecology is not routinely shared with agencies responsible for industrial safety, energy infrastructure, or sectoral licensing. As a result, enforcement decisions are often made without a full understanding of environmental risks or the operational behavior of energy enterprises.[72]

The broader literature on environmental governance suggests that enforcement effectiveness is closely tied to institutional coordination and the integration of environmental information into regulatory processes. Persson's Environmental Policy Integration framework emphasizes that environmental objectives must be embedded in sectoral governance processes through clear procedural pathways and institutional mandates. In the absence of such integration, environmental information fails to affect policy outcomes, leaving monitoring systems functionally detached from enforcement. The OECD/IEA analysis similarly highlights that energy security depends not only on technical resilience but also on the regulatory capacity to manage environmental risks proactively. Effective enforcement requires timely, accurate, and actionable environmental data, supported by institutional structures that ensure coordination and accountability.[73]

One of the most significant consequences of weak enforcement integration concerns the deterrent effect of liability mechanisms. Liability systems function effectively only when regulated entities perceive a credible threat of sanctions, operational restrictions, or increased supervision. When monitoring findings do not routinely lead to enforcement actions, operators may perceive environmental requirements as symbolic or negotiable. This perception weakens compliance incentives, particularly in sectors such as energy where environmental risks are high and regulatory oversight is essential. In Uzbekistan and Azerbaijan, the predominance of post-violation penalties, applied only when harm has already occurred, further reduces the preventive role of liability. The absence of

[72] Simon Elias Bibri, Jeffrey Huang and John Krogstie, 'Artificial Intelligence of Things for Synergizing Smarter Eco-City Brain, Metabolism, and Platform: Pioneering Data-Driven Environmental Governance', *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 108 (2024), 105516 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2024.105516

[73] Awijen and others.

mechanisms requiring operators to adjust practices based on monitoring results means that environmental risks remain unmitigated until violations become severe enough to trigger regulatory intervention.[74]

Another weakness identified in both countries concerns the limited digitalization of monitoring and enforcement systems. Effective integration requires digital platforms that store monitoring data, inspection records, enforcement actions, and permit conditions in accessible and interoperable formats. In advanced regulatory systems, digital monitoring tools enable real-time tracking of emissions, automated reporting, and rapid detection of anomalies. These technologies strengthen regulatory enforcement by reducing information gaps and supporting evidence-based decision-making. Uzbekistan and Azerbaijan, however, lack unified national platforms that integrate monitoring and enforcement data across institutions. As a result, regulators often rely on outdated, incomplete, or inconsistent information when assessing compliance or determining enforcement actions. The absence of digital infrastructure thus weakens both transparency and regulatory efficiency.[75]

Moreover, enforcement challenges in both jurisdictions are influenced by structural incentives within regulatory agencies. In many cases, enforcement bodies face pressure to support economic growth and attract investment in the energy sector. This may discourage strict enforcement of environmental regulations, particularly when violations are identified through monitoring processes that could result in project delays or financial penalties. The tension between environmental protection and economic development creates situations where enforcement agencies hesitate to act despite available evidence of noncompliance. This dynamic further undermines the instrumental role of monitoring in guiding regulatory action and ensuring the long-term security of energy systems.[76]

The implications of weak enforcement integration are significant for energy security. Environmental degradation caused by insufficiently regulated energy activities can undermine the stability and resilience of energy infrastructure, contaminate natural resources, and erode public trust in energy projects. These outcomes can generate political, social, and economic risks that compromise the reliability of energy supply. Effective enforcement based on monitoring data is therefore essential to prevent such risks, maintain compliance, and promote sustainable development in the energy sector. The lack of substantive integration

[74] Kogi, Kristanto and Cao.
[75] Schulte and others.
[76] Suparna Roy and Zuzana Dvořáková Lišková, 'Environmental Management through the Driver-Pressure-State-Impact-Response Framework: Challenges and Adaptive Indicators in India and the Czech Republic', *Journal of Environmental Management*, 395 (2025), 128023 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2025.128023

in Uzbekistan and Azerbaijan indicates that their current systems do not adequately mitigate environmental risks, thereby weakening overall energy security.[77]

Despite these challenges, the findings also reveal opportunities for strengthening enforcement and liability systems. Legal reforms could mandate that monitoring results be incorporated into regulatory decisions, requiring agencies to justify decisions based on environmental information. Such reforms would increase transparency, accountability, and consistency in enforcement practices. Additionally, establishing interagency coordination mechanisms, such as joint inspection teams, mandatory data-sharing protocols, and integrated reporting systems, would enhance institutional capacity to respond to monitoring findings. Developing unified digital platforms would further support enforcement by providing regulators with reliable, accessible, and up-to-date environmental information.[78]

International experience suggests that enforcement effectiveness improves when monitoring data are linked to graded sanctions or adaptive permit conditions. For example, regulators may impose stricter operational conditions, increase inspection frequency, or require corrective actions when monitoring reveals elevated environmental risks. Implementing similar measures in Uzbekistan and Azerbaijan would strengthen the preventive function of environmental monitoring and provide operators with clear incentives to maintain compliance.[79]

In conclusion, the analysis of Uzbekistan and Azerbaijan demonstrates that environmental monitoring has limited impact on enforcement and liability mechanisms due to institutional fragmentation, weak procedural integration, insufficient digital infrastructure, and competing policy priorities. Monitoring systems, while well established in law, fail to function as effective instruments of state control because their findings are not systematically used to guide regulatory actions. Strengthening the integration of monitoring into enforcement frameworks is essential for improving environmental governance and enhancing energy security. Achieving this requires comprehensive legal reform, institutional

[77] Christia Meidiana and others, 'Application of Multi Linear Regression (MLR) Analysis for Determining Predictors of Illegal Dumping in Rapidly Urbanized Rural Areas: A Case Study of Bangkalan District, Indonesia', *Waste Management Bulletin*, 3.3 (2025), 100235 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wmb.2025.100235

[78] Nurkhat Zhakiyev and others, 'Energy Systems, CO2 Emissions, and Mitigation Policies in Three Central Asian Countries: A Comprehensive Review', *Energy Strategy Reviews*, 62 (2025), 101883 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esr.2025.101883

[79] Sabina Koirala and others, 'Cross-Border Ungulate Protection: Identifying Transboundary Conservation Challenges and Integrated Solutions in Response to Human Disturbance and Climate Change', *Journal of Environmental Management*, 391 (2025), 126483 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2025.126483

coordination, digitalization of data systems, and a stronger commitment to environmental priority-setting within the energy sector.[80]

Comparative Legal Assessment and Implications for Energy Security

The comparative analysis of Uzbekistan and Azerbaijan reveals structural similarities and divergences that shape the effectiveness of environmental monitoring within state-control frameworks for energy security.[81] While both countries share a post-Soviet legal heritage and have enacted substantial environmental legislation, their approaches to institutional coordination, monitoring integration, regulatory enforcement, and digital governance diverge in important ways. These differences provide insight into the strengths and limitations of each state's capacity to ensure environmental sustainability and long-term energy security. At the same time, the comparative perspective highlights broader regional patterns that influence environmental governance across Central Asia and the Caspian basin.[82]

Both Uzbekistan and Azerbaijan have established environmental monitoring systems grounded in formal legislation. They mandate environmental audits, ecological assessments, emission measurements, and periodic reporting. However, the operationalization of these systems differs substantially.[83] Uzbekistan's monitoring framework, while comprehensive in statutory terms, functions in a fragmented manner, with limited institutional integration between the Committee for Ecology and Environmental Protection and agencies responsible for energy-sector oversight. Azerbaijan similarly experiences fragmentation, but its environmental institutions face additional challenges related to transparency and inconsistencies in data disclosure. These divergences influence the regulatory capacity of each state and shape their respective vulnerabilities to environmental risks in the energy sector.[84]

[80] Sayidjakhon Khasanov and others, 'Impact Assessment of Soil Salinity on Crop Production in Uzbekistan and Its Global Significance', *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 342 (2023), 108262 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2022.108262

[81] Marat Karatayev and Stephen Hall, 'Establishing and Comparing Energy Security Trends in Resource-Rich Exporting Nations (Russia and the Caspian Sea Region)', *Resources Policy*, 68 (2020), 101746 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2020.101746

[82] Mohammadreza Tabakh and others, 'From Waste to Functional Materials: A Review on Innovations in Waste Management for Environmental Remediation Applications', *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*, 549 (2026), 217379 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2025.217379

[83] Khabat Khosravi and others, 'Greenhouse Gas Analysis at Global Scale Using the CAMS EGG4 Product', *Gondwana Research*, 2025 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gr.2025.10.010

[84] Yunhai Zhao and others, 'The Role of Private Natural Heritage Conservation Areas in Promoting Sustainable Development Goals Insight', *Ecological Indicators*, 176 (2025), 113658 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2025.113658

Table 1. Comparative Overview of Monitoring–Control Integration in Uzbekistan and Azerbaijan

Dimension	Uzbekistan	Azerbaijan
Legal Basis for Monitoring	Strong legislative framework (Environmental Code, Law on State Environmental Control) with detailed monitoring mandates.	Similarly strong legal framework (Law on Environmental Protection, Law on Environmental Safety) with broad monitoring duties.
Institutional Coordination	Limited coordination; monitoring agency and energy regulators operate in silos.	Fragmentation persists; environmental data often not shared across agencies; transparency challenges.
Use of Monitoring in Licensing/Permitting	Monitoring results rarely incorporated into permit decisions; procedures lack binding requirements.	Similar situation; monitoring outcomes seldom influence approval of energy infrastructure projects.
Digitalization	Partially developed; absence of unified digital monitoring system; heavy reliance on manual reporting.	Even more limited; environmental data stored in isolated systems; insufficient interoperability.
Enforcement Linkage	Weak procedural connection between monitoring results and sanctions; enforcement reactive.	Liability mechanisms exist, but monitoring does not reliably trigger enforcement measures.
Preventive Regulatory Function	Monitoring seldom guides preventive measures; risks addressed post-violation.	Preventive governance limited; enforcement often delayed until environmental harm becomes significant.
Transparency & Public Access	Improving, though still developing; limited public disclosure of monitoring results.	Lower transparency; restricted access to environmental data inhibits external accountability.
Impact on Energy Security	Environmental risks poorly integrated into energy planning; potential long-term infrastructure vulnerability.	Similar risk exposure; energy sector stability affected by insufficient environmental oversight.

Source: Based on a synthesis of diverse sources conducted by the author

The comparative assessment demonstrates that both countries share analogous structural challenges, yet each faces distinctive institutional weaknesses that shape their governance outcomes.[85] Uzbekistan benefits from more consistent statutory development and incremental institutional reforms aimed at strengthening environmental governance, including recent presidential decrees encouraging digital transformation and improved environmental supervision. However, the separation between environmental authorities and sectoral regulators remains a persistent impediment to integrating monitoring data into state-control mechanisms. Azerbaijan, on the other hand, possesses a mature energy sector with significant international engagement, yet its environmental governance framework suffers from lower transparency and limited institutional cooperation,

[85] Shashi Kant Gupta and others, 'Chapter 8 - Mastering Nature's Extremes: Real-time Monitoring and Sensor Networks for Flood and Drought Management', in *Advances in Hydrology*, ed. by Sushobhan Majumdar, Moharana Choudhury, and Mika Sillanpää, Science of Sustainable Systems (Elsevier, 2026), pp. 139–52 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-443-26777-2.00008-9

which attenuate the influence of environmental monitoring on regulatory decisions.[86]

An important comparative insight concerns the procedural integration of monitoring into licensing and permitting systems. In both Uzbekistan and Azerbaijan, environmental monitoring operates at the periphery of regulatory decision-making rather than as an embedded procedural requirement.[87] This contrasts with the principles articulated in Environmental Policy Integration literature, which emphasises that environmental information must influence all stages of sectoral governance.[88] The absence of binding procedural pathways results in regulatory decisions that are insufficiently informed by environmental risk assessments, leading to a governance model that remains reactive.[89]

The comparative findings also resonate with international policy frameworks.[90] The OECD/IEA approach highlights that environmental governance is a core component of energy security, as environmental degradation undermines the reliability and resilience of energy systems. Both Uzbekistan and Azerbaijan are vulnerable to such risks: their energy sectors rely on extensive extraction, transport, and processing activities that carry inherent environmental hazards.[91] When monitoring data do not guide regulatory intervention, small-scale risks may escalate into large-scale disruptions affecting supply stability, infrastructure integrity, and public acceptance of energy projects. In this sense, the fragmentation observed in both governance systems poses direct risks to their national energy security strategies.[92]

---

[86] Illan Barriola, Bruno Deffains and Olivier Musy, 'Law and Inequality: A Comparative Approach to the Distributive Implications of Legal Systems', *International Review of Law and Economics*, 75 (2023), 106139 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irle.2023.106139

[87] Temima Ajanovic and others, 'Chapter 21 - Policy and Regulatory Frameworks for Renewable Energy Technologies Development and Integration', in *Renewable Energy Technologies*, ed. by Farooq Sher (Elsevier, 2026), pp. 757–96 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-443-33771-0.00021-6

[88] Omid Sabbaghi, 'The United Nations Sustainable Development Goals and Human Capital: The Case of Azerbaijan', *International Journal of Social Economics*, 51.5 (2023), 655–68 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSE-02-2023-0137

[89] Martin Paul Jr. Tabe-Ojong and others, 'Harnessing Digital Innovations for Climate Action and Market Access: Opportunities and Constraints in the CWANA Region', *Global Food Security*, 41 (2024), 100763 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gfs.2024.100763

[90] Jaishri Srinivasan, 'Transforming Collapsing Arid River Systems: Moving from Deterministic Approaches to Complex Adaptive Governance', *Water Security*, 26 (2025), 100192 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasec.2025.100192

[91] Elshan Ahmadov, 'Water Resources Management to Achieve Sustainable Development in Azerbaijan', *Sustainable Futures*, 2 (2020), 100030 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sftr.2020.100030

[92] Aigerim Ozenbayeva and others, 'Legal Regulation of Transboundary Water Resources of the Republic of Kazakhstan', *Environmental Development*, 44 (2022), 100781 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envdev.2022.100781

Despite these similarities, the pathways forward for each country may differ. Uzbekistan's current trajectory of institutional reform and digitalisation offers a foundation for strengthening integration, provided that future reforms mandate data-sharing requirements and embed monitoring results into regulatory procedures.[93] Azerbaijan, with its more advanced energy sector and greater exposure to international markets, may face stronger external pressures to improve environmental governance, particularly in relation to international investment standards and environmental reporting obligations associated with global energy markets. Both countries would benefit from adopting models of integrated digital monitoring infrastructure, which have proven effective in jurisdictions such as the European Union, where real-time environmental data support enforcement actions, risk forecasting, and transparent decision-making.[94]

The implications of the comparative assessment extend beyond environmental protection and into the broader domain of energy security.[95] Environmental degradation, when insufficiently controlled, has cumulative effects that erode the stability of energy production environments, damage critical ecosystems, and compromise resource availability.[96] Contamination of water supplies, degradation of soil quality, and loss of biodiversity can hinder the functioning of energy infrastructure and create social opposition to new projects.[97] By failing to integrate monitoring results into state-control systems, both countries risk undermining the long-term viability of their energy strategies. This is particularly relevant for Azerbaijan's hydrocarbon-dependent economy and Uzbekistan's expanding diversification into renewable energy, where environmental risks must be managed proactively to ensure sustainable growth.[98]

---

[93] Zulfiya Kannazarova and others, 'Groundwater in the Commonwealth of Independent States: A Bibliometric Analysis of Scopus-Based Papers from 1972 to 2023, Emphasizing the Significance of Drainage', *Groundwater for Sustainable Development*, 25 (2024), 101083 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gsd.2024.101083

[94] Nathanael T Bergbusch and others, 'A Systematic Scoping Review of the Collaborative Governance of Environmental and Cultural Flows', *Environmental Reviews*, 33 (2025), 1–28 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1139/er-2024-0015

[95] Mingming Zhang and others, 'Regulating Environmental Pollution through Natural Resources and Technology Innovation: Revisiting the Environment Kuznet Curve in China through Quantile-Based ARDL Estimations', *Resources Policy*, 85 (2023), 103788 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2023.103788

[96] Tariq K Alhasan, 'Arbitration in the Era of Trade Wars: Balancing Sovereignty and Global Commerce', *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, 12 (2025), 101945 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2025.101945

[97] Weiping Huang and others, 'Role of Multiple Energy Sources under Carbon Neturality Goals, Income and Energy Consumption in Transition Economies: A Joint Case Study between China and Uzbekistan', *Energy*, 309 (2024), 132803 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2024.132803

[98] Kaiyrbek Orazaliev and others, 'Current Regulation of Water Relations in Central Asia', *Regional Science Policy & Practice*, 16.9 (2024), 100038 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rspp.2024.100038

The comparative assessment underscores the need for a coherent legal strategy that frames environmental monitoring as a strategic regulatory tool rather than a technical obligation.[99] Legislative amendments should compel regulators to incorporate monitoring findings into all key decision-making processes, including licensing, environmental expertise, and enforcement planning.[100] Moreover, strengthening institutional cooperation through interagency councils, unified databases, and mandatory data exchanges would enhance regulatory efficiency and transparency. International experience demonstrates that where such mechanisms exist, environmental risks are identified earlier, enforcement becomes more consistent, and public trust in regulatory governance improves.[101]

Another implication relates to the development of adaptive regulatory mechanisms. Monitoring data should inform not only enforcement actions but also the continuous updating of environmental standards and sectoral regulations.[102] Adaptive licensing, where permit conditions evolve based on monitoring outcomes, offers a model that both Uzbekistan and Azerbaijan could incorporate. This approach aligns with the broader trend in global environmental governance towards dynamic regulatory systems that adjust to changing environmental conditions and technological developments.[103]

In conclusion, the comparative analysis of Uzbekistan and Azerbaijan demonstrates that while both countries possess comprehensive legal frameworks for environmental monitoring, the lack of integration into state-control mechanisms significantly limits their capacity to manage environmental risks and ensure energy security. Institutional fragmentation, procedural gaps, insufficient digital infrastructure, and limited transparency reduce the regulatory impact of monitoring in both jurisdictions. Addressing these deficiencies through targeted legal reforms, enhanced institutional coordination, and digital modernisation will be essential for strengthening environmental governance and supporting national energy security objectives. The comparative insights provided in this chapter

---

[99] Phillip Baker and others, 'Towards Unified Global Action on Ultra-Processed Foods: Understanding Commercial Determinants, Countering Corporate Power, and Mobilising a Public Health Response', *The Lancet*, 406.10520 (2025), 2703–26 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(25)01567-3

[100] Sarvar Khalikov and others, 'Uzbekistan's Development under the Leadership of Various Political Reforms: The Case of Air Transport Industry', *The Open Transportation Journal*, 15 (2021), 160–69 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.2174/1874447802115010160

[101] John Rand and others, 'Economic and Environmental Upgrading after a Policy Reform: The Case of Timber Value Chain in Myanmar', *Journal of Rural Studies*, 99 (2023), 20–34 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrurstud.2023.02.008

[102] Elke Herrfahrdt-Pähle and others, 'Sustainability Transformations: Socio-Political Shocks as Opportunities for Governance Transitions', *Global Environmental Change*, 63 (2020), 102097 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2020.102097

[103] Davidov Chingiz, Jinyuan Liu and Mahammad Malikov, 'The Role of Environmental Poverty in Shaping Green Growth in the One Belt One Road Countries', *Sustainable Futures*, 10 (2025), 100935 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sftr.2025.100935

highlight the structural changes required and offer a foundation for developing more resilient, integrated governance frameworks in both countries.[104]

4. Conclusion

The legal and institutional frameworks of Uzbekistan and Azerbaijan shows that environmental monitoring is not yet fully incorporated into state-control processes essential for ensuring energy security. First, monitoring results remain weakly connected to regulatory decision-making, as they are seldom used in licensing, permitting, or compliance assessments, limiting their preventive and supervisory function. Second, institutional fragmentation and the absence of coordinated digital systems hinder the effective exchange and integration of environmental data across agencies, reducing the state's capacity to identify risks early and respond consistently. Third, the limited linkage between monitoring outcomes and enforcement or liability mechanisms diminishes compliance incentives, resulting in reactive rather than proactive regulatory interventions. These findings demonstrate that the current governance structures in both countries do not fully support the role of environmental monitoring as a strategic tool for maintaining energy security. Strengthening integration requires clearer procedural mandates, institutional coordination, and digital modernization to ensure that environmental information forms a substantive basis for regulatory action. By embedding monitoring into state-control frameworks, Uzbekistan and Azerbaijan can enhance preventive governance, improve oversight of energy operations, and support a more resilient and sustainable energy sector.

Refrences

Addai, Kwaku, Rahmi Deniz Ozbay, Rui Alexandre Castanho, Sema Yilmaz Genc, Gualter Couto and Dervis Kirikkaleli, 'Energy Productivity and Environmental Degradation in Germany: Evidence from Novel Fourier Approaches', *Sustainability*, 14 (2022) https://doi.org/10.3390/su142416911

Afshan, Sahar, Tanzeela Yaqoob, Younes Ben Zaied and Shajara Ul-Durar, 'Pathway to Environmental Resilience: Analyzing Financial Dimensions to Curb Energy Security Risks', *Journal of Environmental Management*, 395 (2025), 127745 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2025.127745

Ahmadov, Elshan, 'Water Resources Management to Achieve Sustainable Development in Azerbaijan', *Sustainable Futures*, 2 (2020), 100030 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sftr.2020.100030

Ajanovic, Temima, Piyya Muhammad Rafi-ul-Shan, Catalina Spataru, Olena Melnyk, Jana

[104] Nazim Hajiyev and others, 'Energy Intensity of the Economy in the Context of Rethinking Growth within a Limited Planet', *Energy Strategy Reviews*, 50 (2023), 101246 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esr.2023.101246

Chovancová, Penpim Phuangsuwan, and others, 'Chapter 21 - Policy and Regulatory Frameworks for Renewable Energy Technologies Development and Integration', in *Renewable Energy Technologies*, ed. by Farooq Sher (Elsevier, 2026), pp. 757–96 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-443-33771-0.00021-6

Alam, Khandaker Jahangir, Aida Ashtari Nakhaee and Hakan Yilmazkuday, 'Energy Security and Economic Growth: The Role of Geopolitical Tensions', *Energy*, 341 (2025), 139341 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2025.139341

Alam, Mohammad Mahtab, Hussein Ali Al-Bahrani, Oygul Khujaniyozova, Fattma A Ali, Djamila Polatova, Dilafruz Kholmurodova, and others, 'Influence of Machine Learning Technology on the Development of Electrochemical, Optical, and Image Analysis-Based Methods for Biomedical, Food, and Environmental Analysis', *Microchemical Journal*, 218 (2025), 115407 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2025.115407

Alecce, Enrico and Mariangela Garritano, 'SAFE-NOVA: A Practical and Scalable Framework to Modernize Food-Safety Control beyond HACCP', *Food and Humanity*, 2025, 100959 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foohum.2025.100959

Alhasan, Tariq K, 'Arbitration in the Era of Trade Wars: Balancing Sovereignty and Global Commerce', *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, 12 (2025), 101945 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2025.101945

Alnafrah, Ibrahim, 'The Two Tales of AI: A Global Assessment of the Environmental Impacts of Artificial Intelligence from a Multidimensional Policy Perspective', *Journal of Environmental Management*, 392 (2025), 126813 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2025.126813

Arujanan, Mahaletchumy and Paul PS Teng, 'Chapter Three - Legal, Regulatory and Labelling Status of Biotech Crops', in *Transgenic Plants and Beyond*, ed. by Marcel Kuntz, Advances in Botanical Research (Academic Press, 2018), LXXXVI, 45–88 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.abr.2017.11.019

Assubayeva, Aliya, Stefanos Xenarios, Alicia Correa and Jorge Forero, 'Transdisciplinary Research on Water Security in Transboundary River Basins', *IScience*, 28 (2025), 113944 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2025.113944

Awijen, Haithem, Rashid Mehmood, Ahmed Imran Hunjra and Hachmi Ben Ameur, 'Financial Constraints and the Payoff to Going Green: Evidence from Environmental Disclosure and Investment', *Journal of Environmental Management*, 395 (2025), 127925 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2025.127925

Baker, Phillip, Scott Slater, Mariel White, Benjamin Wood, Alejandra Contreras, Camila Corvalán, and others, 'Towards Unified Global Action on Ultra-Processed Foods: Understanding Commercial Determinants, Countering Corporate Power, and Mobilising a Public Health Response', *The Lancet*, 406 (2025), 2703–26 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(25)01567-3

Barriola, Illan, Bruno Deffains and Olivier Musy, 'Law and Inequality: A Comparative Approach to the Distributive Implications of Legal Systems', *International Review of Law and Economics*, 75 (2023), 106139 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irle.2023.106139

Basset, Celine and Quim Zaldo-Aubanell, 'The Role of AI-Enhanced Microscopy in Soil Biodiversity Assessment: Advancing Soil Security, Connectivity and Governance with Implications for the European Directive on Soil Monitoring and Resilience, and Global Agendas', *Soil Security*, 21 (2025), 100203 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soisec.2025.100203

Bergbusch, Nathanael T, Mark D Saunders, Kelsey Leonard, André St-Hilaire, Robert B Gibson, Timothy D Jardine, and others, 'A Systematic Scoping Review of the Collaborative Governance of Environmental and Cultural Flows', *Environmental Reviews*, 33 (2025), 1–28 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1139/er-2024-0015

Bibri, Simon Elias, Jeffrey Huang and John Krogstie, 'Artificial Intelligence of Things for Synergizing Smarter Eco-City Brain, Metabolism, and Platform: Pioneering Data-Driven Environmental Governance', *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 108 (2024), 105516 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2024.105516

Boute, Anatole, 'Clean Energy Supply Security and the International Trade Regime: A WTO Law Analysis of the EU Net-Zero Industry Act', *Energy Strategy Reviews*, 62 (2025), 101919 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esr.2025.101919

Buxarovich, Xayitov Panji, 'O'zbekiston Respublikasida Energitika Xavfsizligini Ta'minlashning Ekologik Huquqiy Tartibga Solish Masalalari', *Fars Int J Edu Soc Sci Hum 10(12); 2022;*, 10 (2022) https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7477943

Chen, Lu, Umriya Kenjayeva, Guanwei Mu, Nadeem Iqbal and Fu Chin, 'Evaluating the Influence of Environmental Regulations on Green Economic Growth in China: A Focus on Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency Guidelines', *Energy Strategy Reviews*, 56 (2024), 101544 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esr.2024.101544

Chen, Xiao, Bihong Huang and Mohamed Shaban, 'Naïve or Sophisticated? Information Disclosure and Investment Decisions in Peer to Peer Lending', *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 77 (2022), 101805 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2020.101805

Chingiz, Davidov, Jinyuan Liu and Mahammad Malikov, 'The Role of Environmental Poverty in Shaping Green Growth in the One Belt One Road Countries', *Sustainable Futures*, 10 (2025), 100935 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sftr.2025.100935

Chu, Yin Ting, Md Abdul Moktadir and Jingzheng Ren, 'Constructing an Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) Metrics Framework for Assessing Medical Waste Valorization Alternatives: A Novel Integrated MCDM Model under Decomposed Fuzzy Environment', *Journal of Environmental Management*, 373 (2025), 123457 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2024.123457

Dao, Thuy, Haithem Awijen, Rizwan Ahmed and Hachmi Ben Ameur, 'Understanding Energy Security in Geopolitical Contexts: Insights from Decomposing Energy Diversification Indexes', *Energy Economics*, 152 (2025), 108947 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2025.108947

Fernández Fernández, José Alejandro, 'The Architecture of Sustainable Banking: Financial, Institutional and Risk Patterns behind Environmental Performance', *Borsa Istanbul Review*, 25 (2025), 1558–71

https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bir.2025.10.010

Fu, Yafan, Roine Leiringer and Stefan Christoffer Gottlieb, 'Navigating Institutional Demands: Organizational Responses to Institutional Complexity in Megaproject Delivery', *International Journal of Project Management*, 42 (2024), 102602 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2024.102602

Gao, Liya, Feng Zhao and Yang Sun, 'Economic Impact of Wind Energy Technology Adoption in China's Renewable Power Markets: Implications for Energy Security and Financial Development', *Renewable Energy*, 258 (2026), 124811 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2025.124811

Gholian-Jouybari, Fatemeh, Moein Khazaei, Reza Farzipoor Saen, Reza Kia, Hossein Bonakdari, Mostafa Hajiaghaei-Keshteli, and others, 'Developing Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) Strategies on Evaluation of Municipal Waste Disposal Centers: A Case of Mexico', *Chemosphere*, 364 (2024), 142961 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2024.142961

Gray, Wayne B and Jay P Shimshack, 'The Effectiveness of Environmental Monitoring and Enforcement: A Review of the Empirical Evidence', *Review of Environmental Economics and Policy*, 5 (2011), 3–24 https://doi.org/10.1093/reep/req017

Guo, Lijia and Yi Yu, 'Governing Feral Cats through Platform: The StreetCat Project, Digital Environmental Governance, and Multispecies Urbanism', *Geoforum*, 165 (2025), 104365 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2025.104365

Gupta, Shashi Kant, Worakamol Wisetsri, Nitima Malsa, Gilbert C Magulod, Davron Aslonqulovich Juraev and Paryati Yayaramli, 'Chapter 8 - Mastering Nature's Extremes: Real-time Monitoring and Sensor Networks for Flood and Drought Management', in *Advances in Hydrology*, ed. by Sushobhan Majumdar, Moharana Choudhury, and Mika Sillanpää, Science of Sustainable Systems (Elsevier, 2026), pp. 139–52 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-443-26777-2.00008-9

Gutta, Akash, Sridhar S, Nishanth M, Yathwik A Shetty, Dhanamjayulu C, Arunkumar G, and others, 'A Security-Centric SCADA Framework for Wind Energy Systems Using Enhanced Network Segmentation and Rogue Traffic Visualization', *Results in Engineering*, 2025, 108535 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rineng.2025.108535

Guzhva, Vitaly S, Sunder Raghavan and Damon J D'Agostino, 'Chapter 4 - Aviation Legal and Regulatory Framework', in *Aircraft Leasing and Financing*, ed. by Vitaly S Guzhva, Sunder Raghavan, and Damon J D'Agostino (Elsevier, 2019), pp. 101–39 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-815285-0.00004-3

Hajiyev, Nazim, Vagif Guliyev, Samira Abdullayeva and Esmira Abdullayeva, 'Energy Intensity of the Economy in the Context of Rethinking Growth within a Limited Planet', *Energy Strategy Reviews*, 50 (2023), 101246 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esr.2023.101246

Herrfahrdt-Pähle, Elke, Maja Schlüter, Per Olsson, Carl Folke, Stefan Gelcich and Claudia Pahl-Wostl, 'Sustainability Transformations: Socio-Political Shocks as Opportunities for Governance Transitions', *Global Environmental Change*, 63 (2020), 102097

https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2020.102097

Huang, Weiping, Ghansham Das, Azer Dilanchiev, Zeynab Giyasova and Mangi Gu, 'Role of Multiple Energy Sources under Carbon Neturality Goals, Income and Energy Consumption in Transition Economies: A Joint Case Study between China and Uzbekistan', *Energy*, 309 (2024), 132803 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2024.132803

Jørgensen, Bo Nørregaard and Zheng Grace Ma, 'Regulating AI in the Energy Sector: A Scoping Review of EU Laws, Challenges, and Global Perspectives', *Energies*, 18 (2025) https://doi.org/10.3390/en18092359

Kannazarova, Zulfiya, Mukhiddin Juliev, Ashirbek Muratov and Jilili Abuduwaili, 'Groundwater in the Commonwealth of Independent States: A Bibliometric Analysis of Scopus-Based Papers from 1972 to 2023, Emphasizing the Significance of Drainage', *Groundwater for Sustainable Development*, 25 (2024), 101083 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gsd.2024.101083

Kanzari, Emna, Gioacchino Fazio, Stefano Fricano and Roberto Cardinale, 'Energy Reserve Dynamics: Integrating Renewable Energy for Energy Security and Sustainability in Lesser-Developed Economies', *Energy Economics*, 150 (2025), 108882 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2025.108882

Karatayev, Marat and Stephen Hall, 'Establishing and Comparing Energy Security Trends in Resource-Rich Exporting Nations (Russia and the Caspian Sea Region)', *Resources Policy*, 68 (2020), 101746 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2020.101746

Khalikov, Sarvar, Wei Liu, Madina Turaeva and Liliya Achilova, 'Uzbekistan's Development under the Leadership of Various Political Reforms: The Case of Air Transport Industry', *The Open Transportation Journal*, 15 (2021), 160–69 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.2174/1874447802115010160

Khasanov, Sayidjakhon, Rashid Kulmatov, Fadong Li, Andre van Amstel, Harm Bartholomeus, Ilhomjon Aslanov, and others, 'Impact Assessment of Soil Salinity on Crop Production in Uzbekistan and Its Global Significance', *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 342 (2023), 108262 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2022.108262

Khosravi, Khabat, Ebrahim Mesgari, Sayed M Bateni, Changhyun Jun, Heydar Mirzaei, Zahra Kalantari, and others, 'Greenhouse Gas Analysis at Global Scale Using the CAMS EGG4 Product', *Gondwana Research*, 2025 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gr.2025.10.010

Knox-Hayes, Janelle, Surbhi Agarwal, Johan Arango-Quiroga, Nicholas Ashford, David Birge, Gabriella Carolini, and others, 'The Equitable Resilience Framework: An Environmental Justice Strategy for Community-Led Resilience Planning', *World Development Perspectives*, 40 (2025), 100738 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wdp.2025.100738

Kogi, Samuel Karanja, Ari Budi Kristanto and June Cao, 'A Systematic Literature Review of Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) Research in Africa', *Meditari*

Accountancy Research, 33 (2025), 199–245 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1108/MEDAR-08-2024-2623

Koirala, Sabina, Paul A Garber, Muyang Wang, Hem Bahadur Katuwal, Muhammad Zafar Khan, Jingwen Xu, and others, 'Cross-Border Ungulate Protection: Identifying Transboundary Conservation Challenges and Integrated Solutions in Response to Human Disturbance and Climate Change', *Journal of Environmental Management*, 391 (2025), 126483 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2025.126483

Kusi-Appiah, Francisca, Halima Hussein and Elvira Dery, 'Just Sustainable Energy Transition: Lessons for Sub-Saharan Africa Security of Supply', *Energy Policy*, 210 (2026), 114990 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2025.114990

Lehmann, Etienne, François Marical and Laurence Rioux, 'Labor Income Responds Differently to Income-Tax and Payroll-Tax Reforms', *Journal of Public Economics*, 99 (2013), 66–84 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpubeco.2013.01.004

Liu, Rui, Meng Han, Xin Zhang, Yuan Sun, Rijia Liu and Shuang Jin, 'Covalent Organic Frameworks (COFs)-Based Sensors: Advances in Environmental Monitoring, Food Safety and Biomedicine Detection', *Microchemical Journal*, 215 (2025), 114529 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2025.114529

Marković, Filip and Ana Kovačević, 'Cyber Threats and Energy Security: Development and Analysis of an Incident Dataset for the Period 2022–2024', *Energy Policy*, 208 (2026), 114913 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2025.114913

Meidiana, Christia, Florin-Constantin Mihai, Tonni Agustiono Kurniawan, Diva Avriska, Septiana Hariyani, Ratan Kumar Ghosh, and others, 'Application of Multi Linear Regression (MLR) Analysis for Determining Predictors of Illegal Dumping in Rapidly Urbanized Rural Areas: A Case Study of Bangkalan District, Indonesia', *Waste Management Bulletin*, 3 (2025), 100235 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wmb.2025.100235

Miyatani, Johan and Charlotta Söderberg, 'Enabling Environmental Education – Value Hierarchies of Sustainability Objectives for Upper-Secondary School', *Cleaner Production Letters*, 2025, 100122 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clpl.2025.100122

Mohammad, Suleiman Ibrahim, AK Kareem, Zyad Shaaban, M Sudhakara Reddy, Asokan Vasudevan, Fadhil Faez Sead, and others, 'Perovskite Quantum Dot-Based Gas Sensors for Environmental Monitoring: Mechanisms, Materials, and Perspectives on next-Generation Pollution Control', *RSC Advances*, 15 (2025), 47476–505 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1039/d5ra07219k

Mohan, Archana, Manitha P.V and Umashankar Subramaniam, 'A Comprehensive Review on the Integration of Air Quality Monitoring Systems with Hybrid Electric Vehicles for Emission Control in Smart Cities', *Science of The Total Environment*, 994 (2025), 180022 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2025.180022

Naseer, Mirza Muhammad, Ahmed Imran Hunjra, Alessia Palma and Tanveer Bagh, 'Sustainable Development Goals and Environmental Performance: Exploring the Contribution of Governance, Energy, and Growth', *Research in International Business and*

Finance, 73 (2025), 102646 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2024.102646

Nilsson, Måns and Åsa Persson, 'Policy Note: Lessons from Environmental Policy Integration for the Implementation of the 2030 Agenda', *Environmental Science & Policy*, 78 (2017), 36–39 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2017.09.003

Niroshan, Lasith, Sarbast Moslem and Francesco Pilla, 'Design and Implementation of a Data Sharing API for Supporting Urban Governance Schemes in Environmental and Traffic Monitoring', *MethodsX*, 15 (2025), 103458 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mex.2025.103458

o'g'li, Nishonov Abdulloh Ubaydulloh, 'Nuclear Governance Assessing Effectiveness and Enhancing International Cooperation: Tata Kelola Nuklir Menilai Efektivitas Dan Meningkatkan Kerja Sama Internasional', *Indonesian Journal of Innovation Studies*, 25 (2024), 10.21070/ijins.v25i2.1153 https://doi.org/10.21070/ijins.v25i2.1153

Orazaliev, Kaiyrbek, Anar Mukasheva, Nursultan Ybyray and Talap Nurekeshov, 'Current Regulation of Water Relations in Central Asia', *Regional Science Policy & Practice*, 16 (2024), 100038 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rspp.2024.100038

Ozenbayeva, Aigerim, Roza Yerezhepkyzy, Saltanat Yessetova, Arailym Jangabulova and Manshuk Beissenbayeva, 'Legal Regulation of Transboundary Water Resources of the Republic of Kazakhstan', *Environmental Development*, 44 (2022), 100781 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envdev.2022.100781

Parsons, Meg and Leane Makey, '7.17 - The Resurgence of Indigenous Environmental Governance in Coastal and Marine Spaces: Indigenous Knowledge, Co-Governance, and Environmental Guardianship in the Twenty-First Century', in *Treatise on Estuarine and Coastal Science (Second Edition)*, ed. by Daniel Baird and Michael Elliott, Second Edition (Oxford: Academic Press, 2024), pp. 370–410 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-90798-9.00116-5

Prihandono, Iman and Ekawestri Prajwalita Widiati, 'Regulatory Capture in Energy Sector: Evidence from Indonesia', *The Theory and Practice of Legislation*, 11 (2023), 207–31 https://doi.org/10.1080/20508840.2023.2248837

Qu, Jingjing, Yajun Tian and Kechang Xie, 'New Insights on Sustainable Energy Security of China Based on a Double-Frontier Network Data Envelopment Analysis', *Ecological Indicators*, 180 (2025), 114359 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2025.114359

Rand, John, Paula Castro Rodriguez, Finn Tarp and Neda Trifkovic, 'Economic and Environmental Upgrading after a Policy Reform: The Case of Timber Value Chain in Myanmar', *Journal of Rural Studies*, 99 (2023), 20–34 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrurstud.2023.02.008

Rangel-Buitrago, Nelson Guillermo, 'Chapter 12 - The Legislative and Political Agenda', in *Plastics in Coastal and Marine Environments*, ed. by Nelson Guillermo Rangel-Buitrago (Elsevier, 2026), pp. 383–424 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-443-13559-0.00002-9

Rizwan Ullah, Muhammad, Mohamad Alnafissa and Muhammad Nasrullah, 'Enhancing

Environmental Performance in the OECD Nations through Financial Inclusion, Digital Innovation and Effective Governance', *International Journal of Climate Change Strategies and Management*, 17 (2025), 437–59 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCCSM-08-2024-0134

Roy, Suparna and Zuzana Dvořáková Lišková, 'Environmental Management through the Driver-Pressure-State-Impact-Response Framework: Challenges and Adaptive Indicators in India and the Czech Republic', *Journal of Environmental Management*, 395 (2025), 128023 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2025.128023

Sabbaghi, Omid, 'The United Nations Sustainable Development Goals and Human Capital: The Case of Azerbaijan', *International Journal of Social Economics*, 51 (2023), 655–68 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSE-02-2023-0137

Schulte, Kayla, Andrew Grieve, Benjamin Barratt, Timothy Baker, Hima Coonjobeeharry and Mohammed Mead, 'Advancing Participatory Sensing and Knowledge Production Methods for City Air Quality Governance: Applying the Breathe London Community Programme Model', *Environmental Science & Policy*, 170 (2025), 104092 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2025.104092

Scrimgeour, Frank, Shaen Corbet and Erdinc Akyildirim, 'Understanding the Impact of Environmental, Social, and Governance Incidents on Food Producing Corporations', *Journal of Environmental Management*, 371 (2024), 123072 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2024.123072

Shimshack, Jay P, 'The Economics of Environmental Monitoring and Enforcement', *Annual Review of Resource Economics*, 6 (2014), 339–60 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-resource-091912-151821

Song, Huan, Fang Wang, Ruilian Zhang and Muhammad Tahir, 'The Role of Environmental Governance Policies on Resource Adaptation and Digital Economy Resilience in G7 Countries', *International Review of Economics & Finance*, 104 (2025), 104711 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iref.2025.104711

Srinivasan, Jaishri, 'Transforming Collapsing Arid River Systems: Moving from Deterministic Approaches to Complex Adaptive Governance', *Water Security*, 26 (2025), 100192 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasec.2025.100192

Šumrada, Tanja, Marko Lovec, Luka Juvančič, Ilona Rac and Emil Erjavec, 'Fit for the Task? Integration of Biodiversity Policy into the Post-2020 Common Agricultural Policy: Illustration on the Case of Slovenia', *Journal for Nature Conservation*, 54 (2020), 125804 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnc.2020.125804

Sun, Jihong, Lei Zheng and Mengqiao Zhan, 'New Path to Green Transformation: Exploring the Impact of Corporate Governance on Environmental Information Disclosure Quality of New Energy Companies', *Journal of Environmental Management*, 373 (2025), 123789 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2024.123789

Sun, Yanlei, Md Mominur Rahman, Xu Xinyan, Abu Bakkar Siddik and Mohammad Ekramol Islam, 'Unlocking Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) Performance through Energy Efficiency and Green Tax: SEM-ANN Approach', *Energy Strategy*

Reviews, 53 (2024), 101408 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esr.2024.101408

Tabakh, Mohammadreza, Reza Attarzadeh, Reza Yarahmadi, Mozhdeh Amanati, Mohammadamin Momeny, Hanieh Maleki, and others, 'From Waste to Functional Materials: A Review on Innovations in Waste Management for Environmental Remediation Applications', *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*, 549 (2026), 217379 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2025.217379

Tabe-Ojong, Martin Paul Jr, Yousra Salama, Kibrom A Abay, Fatma Abdelaziz, Claudia Zaccari, Akmal Akramkhanov, and others, 'Harnessing Digital Innovations for Climate Action and Market Access: Opportunities and Constraints in the CWANA Region', *Global Food Security*, 41 (2024), 100763 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gfs.2024.100763

Tamasiga, Phemelo, Valentine Munyaradzi Dzingai, Helen Onyeaka, Rose Daphnee Tchonkouang, Kehinde Favour Siyanbola, Ulakom Genesis, and others, 'Energy Transition Effects on Food Security amidst Climate Change and Progress toward Sustainable Development Goals', *Energy and Climate Change*, 6 (2025), 100222 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egycc.2025.100222

Tunio, Fayaz Hussain, Zhao Rui Feng, Tayyab Raza Fraz, Muhammad Shahbaz, Naveed R Khan and Shoh-Jakhon Khamdamov, 'Environmental Pollution and Green Intergovernmental Fiscal Relations: Fiscal Decentralization for Sustainable Governance', *Journal of Environmental Management*, 394 (2025), 127313 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2025.127313

Vo, Duc Hong, Chi Minh Ho and Ngoc Duc Lang, 'Greener for Greater Security? The Role of Green Finance in Energy Security amid Rising Geopolitical Risks', *Energy Economics*, 151 (2025), 108902 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2025.108902

Wang, Jiaqi, Menghao Deng and Tingqiang Chen, 'How Does the Penetration of New Energy Vehicles Affect Global Energy Security? Empirical Evidence from Multiple Countries', *Energy Strategy Reviews*, 62 (2025), 101945 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esr.2025.101945

Wisniewski, Radoslaw, Aneta Nowakowska-Krystman, Tomasz Kownacki and Piotr Daniluk, 'The Impact of the Rule of Law on Energy Policy in European Union Member States', *Energies*, 17 (2024) https://doi.org/10.3390/en17030739

Xu, Mengmeng, Zihao Wang, Yanjiao Zhou, Feng Wang and Zhan Wu, 'Environmental Information Transparency and Firms' Sustainable Development: Evidence from Automatic Environment Monitoring and Information Disclosure in China', *Energy Economics*, 150 (2025), 108826 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2025.108826

Xu, Yingda, Sunil Tiwari, Emad Kazemzadeh, Sudeshna Ghosh and Buhari Doğan, 'How Does Smart Cities and Digital Economy Facilitate Energy Security and Energy Transition? Empirical Evidence from China', *Energy and Buildings*, 351 (2026), 116639 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2025.116639

Yildirim, Korkmaz, Tunahan Haciimamoglu and Salih Turedi, 'Unveiling the Effects of Economic Complexity, Climate Change, and Urbanisation on Energy Security:

Evidence from High-Risk Countries', *Journal of Environmental Management*, 394 (2025), 127456 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2025.127456

Yu, Guirui, Zongxu Yu, Zhi Chen and Qiufeng Wang, 'Macrosystems Ecology: A New Engine and Frontier in Contemporary Ecosystem Science', *Geography and Sustainability*, 6 (2025), 100334 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geosus.2025.100334

Zhakiyev, Nurkhat, Ayagoz Khamzina, Diana Toimbek, Ruslan Omirgaliyev, Sedigheh Shakib Kotamjani, Mohammad Alhuyi Nazari, and others, 'Energy Systems, CO2 Emissions, and Mitigation Policies in Three Central Asian Countries: A Comprehensive Review', *Energy Strategy Reviews*, 62 (2025), 101883 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esr.2025.101883

Zhang, Jinjun and Xiao-feng Liu, 'Sustainable Development at the Crossroads: Geopolitical Risks, Natural Resource Scarcity, and Renewable Energy in Energy Security Transitions', *Geoscience Frontiers*, 16 (2025), 102129 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gsf.2025.102129

Zhang, Mingming, Wing-Keung Wong, Thai Thi Kim Oanh, Iskandar Muda, Saiful Islam, Sanil S Hishan, and others, 'Regulating Environmental Pollution through Natural Resources and Technology Innovation: Revisiting the Environment Kuznet Curve in China through Quantile-Based ARDL Estimations', *Resources Policy*, 85 (2023), 103788 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2023.103788

Zhang, Mingxia and Mingyue Du, 'Does Environmental Regulation Develop a Greener Energy Efficiency for Environmental Sustainability in the Post-COVID-19 Era: Role of Technological Innovation', *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, Volume 10-2022 (2022) https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2022.978277

Zhang, Xinmin, Hong Zang, Lue Li and Miao Wang, 'Renewable Energy Technology Innovation and Energy Security: The Role of Institutional Environment', *Renewable Energy*, 256 (2026), 124552 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2025.124552

ZHANG, Yan and Rui ZHU, 'Energy Supply Security across Chinese Provinces: Assessing Regional Disparities and Convergence Dynamics', *Sustainable Futures*, 10 (2025), 101522 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sftr.2025.101522

Zhao, Yunhai, Muhammad Umair, Fatima Gulzar, Shafa Guliyeva and Dadajon Xabibullayev, 'The Role of Private Natural Heritage Conservation Areas in Promoting Sustainable Development Goals Insight', *Ecological Indicators*, 176 (2025), 113658 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2025.113658

Zhou, Cheng, Wanhao Zhang and Clare Richardson-Barlow, 'Navigating Ecological Civilisation: Polycentric Environmental Governance and Policy Regulatory Framework in China', *Energy Research & Social Science*, 128 (2025), 104347 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2025.104347

Zirne, Marita Agate, Ieva Pakere and Vivita Priedniece, 'Analysis of District Heating System Energy Security Index. A Case Study from Latvia', *Energy Strategy Reviews*, 62 (2025), 101943 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esr.2025.101943